

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

New Orleans Police and Justice Foundation

OPISIS Project

**Criminal Justice System Interfaces for Orleans Parish
Criminal Sheriff Booking and Records System**

November 3, 2009

Last Revision Nov 2, 2009 4:16 PM

The New Orleans Police and Justice Foundation (NOPJF) in connection with its OPISIS project wishes to develop non-proprietary software for enhancement of the OPCSO Booking and Records System. The amount available for this project is a maximum of \$75,000.

1. Inquiries

Written questions and requests for clarification of this RFP should be forwarded by e-mail to Dr. Michael Geerken, NOPJF, at michael@nopjf.org. Please include the RFP page and paragraph number for comments and suggestions if appropriate. Any questions received after the deadline for questions (see below) will not be considered. All questions and responses will be available via NOPJF's web site (<http://www.nopjf.org/>). Responses to questions are to be considered official clarifications or modifications to this RFP. At its sole discretion NOPJF may choose to host a voluntary Bidder's meeting via a phone conference, online conference, or web meeting technology.¹ Potential bidders are requested not to contact or solicit officials of the New Orleans criminal justice system but to submit questions and suggestions for clarification to the OPCSO Booking and Records System Committee for their consideration through Dr. Geerken.

2. Method of Selection

NOPJF will use a competitive sealed proposals method of Bidder selection. An award, if made, will be made to the responsive and responsible Bidder whose proposal response is most advantageous to the Orleans Parish Criminal Sheriff's Office (OPCSO) and other agencies of the New Orleans criminal justice system and most likely to result in a quality BRS application within the timeline specified. NOPJF will not use any other factors or criteria in the evaluation of proposals received. NOPJF will, as it deems necessary, conduct discussions with responsible Bidders determined to be reasonably susceptible of being selected for the purpose of clarification to assure full understanding of, and responsiveness to, solicitation requirements.

NOPJF may chose, at its discretion, to reject all submitted proposals.

\

¹ Date/time and instructions for the meeting, if any, will be posted on the NOPJF web site.

3. Criteria Used to Determine the Suitability and Responsiveness of Each Bidder

3.1 Suitability

Determination of suitability will be based on NOPJF's determination of answers to the following questions:

3.1.1 Does the Bidder demonstrate an understanding of the project, as well as a general understanding of the needs the project seeks to address?

3.1.2 Does the Bidder possess the ability, capacity, skill, and financial resources to provide the service?

3.1.3 Can the Bidder take upon itself the responsibilities set forth in the RFP (and resultant contract) and produce the required outcomes within the required timeline and the state cost limits of the project?

3.1.4 Does the Bidder have the character, integrity, reputation, judgment, experience, and efficiency required by the contract? Bidder will provide a list of partners and/or subcontractors with references for each. If used, partners and subcontractors must be approved by NOPJF and the Criminal Sheriff.

3.1.5 Has the Bidder performed satisfactorily in previous contracts? The Bidder's past performance in all phases of contracting, application development, implementation, and support is relevant and will be evaluated.

3.1.6 Has the Bidder successfully deployed a product and performed services substantially similar to those requested in this RFP?

3.1.7 Has the bidder colluded with other bidders or otherwise sought to improperly influence the outcome of the RFP process? Has the bidder a conflict of interest that might interfere with impartial Bidder selection, contracting, or oversight of the implementation process?

Bidders not judged suitable by NOPJF will not be scored and will be eliminated for further consideration.

3.2 Responsiveness

Responsiveness is based on the Bidder's detailed responses to each of the system and implementation requirements outlined in Sections 5 and 6 below. For each requirement, the Bidder should affirm in its response that its services would include that element, feature, or capacity. Any requirement without such a response will result in rejection of the bid without scoring.

Some elements, features, or capacities described in this RFP may be clearly labeled as preferences rather than requirements. The Bidder should indicate which, if any, of these preferences is part of its proposed services. Bidders willing to offer such services (within the time and cost limits stated in this RFP) will receive credit in the scoring process for these additional deliverables.

Additional Instructions:

1. To facilitate review by NOPJF, Bidder responses to the system and implementation requirements in sections 5 and 6 must be in the same order as presented in the RFP, and numbered/lettered to correspond to the RFP. Bids not structured in this way may be rejected without review.
2. An omitted response to a requirement will be scored as if excluded from the Bidder's proposal, i.e., outside the scope of the Bidder's proposed services.
3. If clarifications are needed, cross-reference to an attachment is allowed, but the use of clarifications through attachments should be minimal. Information provided in an attachment should clearly forward-reference the attachment page/paragraph number from the RFP item number/letter and backward-reference the attachment to the RFP item number/letter that it is a response.
4. Any bid which exceeds a cost of \$75,000 or for services that will not be completed within the stated time frame will be rejected without review or scoring.

4. Timetable

The following timetable applies to this RFP. NOPJF reserves the right to adjust this timetable as required during the course of the RFP process.

Event Date

RFP released Nov 3, 2009

Deadline for receipt of questions/requests for clarification Nov 17, 2009

Bids due Dec 3, 2009

Vendor interviews, reference checks, and presentations 12/3/2009 – 12/15/2009

Selection of vendor Dec 20, 2009

Statement of Work completed and signed: Jan 31, 2010

Application ready for testing: May 15, 2010

Final acceptance June 30, 2010

5. Bidder and Bidding Requirements

- 5.1 The Bidder must be capable of furnishing a reliable method for resolving software issues on a day-to-day basis after the go-live date. These services should be available for a minimum of 120 man-hours without charge or 6 months, whichever occurs first. Preferably a developer will be available via cell phone 24/7 to answer questions from NOPJF or OPCSO IT personnel (not end-users). At its discretion, the OPCSO or NOPJF may later contract for additional support or application enhancement outside the scope of this RFP. The Bidder must define in their proposal the procedures, schedules, and time frames for responding to complaints, problems, and requests reported to them.
- 5.2 Each bidder must provide the names and resumes of the employees or contractors who will be directly involved in this project and their experience in providing such system solutions.
- 5.3 Each bidder must provide a list of clients where software developed by the Bidder (or the developers who will work on this project) is currently in use. This list should include the name and telephone number of the clients contact person and the type of application developed. The Bidder must include all clients for similar projects within the last five years.
- 5.4 Each bidder must provide a list of clients for whom its software was used but has been replaced by another application, or where a software development project was abandoned before completion. This list should include the name and telephone number of the clients contact person and a statement of the reasons for replacement or abandonment.
- 5.5 Any warranty provided by the Bidder must be clearly stated.
- 5.6 The Bidder must provide a detailed project plan that includes a time line of milestones and deliverables within the stated time frames. The Bidder shall propose a payment plan (subject to negotiation with NOPJF) which reserves at least 35% of the total payment after completion and acceptance: 25% at acceptance and 10% after 90 days or 120 hours of support services (as described in 5.1 above.)²
- 5.7 The Bidder must provide a company overview including ownership, top executives, and history both for their company and any subcontractor companies, and financial information.

² If less than 120 hours has been expended by the end of the 90 day period, the Vendor will nevertheless be paid the full 10%. As long as the requested support services have been provided.

6. OPCSO B&R System Interfaces Requirements

Introduction

The Criminal Sheriff currently uses a booking and records system (BRS) developed over a period of 30 years by employees and contractors of the Criminal Sheriff's Office that operates on the Criminal Sheriff's IBM AS400 (aka iSeries aka Power). This BRS is the primary data entry and maintenance application for criminal justice system-related information on the arrestees processed in the Sheriff's Intake and Processing Center (IPC) and inmates in the custody of the Sheriff. The database maintained is extensively used by a wide range of applications related to inmate and Office management. In essence, the BRS is one component of the Sheriff's extensive and complex Jail Management System (JMS). The BRS is almost entirely a non-proprietary application written in RPG ILE using the AS400's DB2 database. The BRS user interfaces are almost entirely "green screen fill-in-the blank" with function key prompt-initiated windows on some fields to assist in data entry. It is the intention of the Sheriff that all user interfaces for his Office's applications be eventually replaced with modern web browser interfaces and that the AS400's DB2 data gradually be migrated to modern SQL databases. Given the large number of applications in the Jail Management System (JMS) and the Office's fiscal situation, however, this migration will necessarily occur in multiple phases over an extended period of time.

The project encompassed by the RFP will replace a *part* of the current OPCSO BRS with web browser/SQL modules to take advantage of the following newly available criminal justice data exchanges: Electronic Police Report (EPR), Screening Action Form (SAF), and Magistrate Court Release Form (MCR).

A) Project Deliverables

1) **Police Report – Booking Interface: Pre-Acceptance Module**

The EPR is currently being deployed in phases by the New Orleans Police Department (NOPD) and it is anticipated that for the foreseeable future documents submitted by NOPD at booking will be in some cases electronic and in some cases paper only. Also, other arresting agencies (including OPCS0) will for the foreseeable future submit only paper documents with arrestees at booking. Therefore an application needs to be developed that allows arresting officers either to:

- a) identify electronic documents for transfer to the OPCS0 BRS *Acceptance* module (and initiate the transfer), or
- b) scan & print copies of paper documents being submitted, or
- c) both, when submissions are a combination of electronic and paper documents.

The arresting officer has access at the Intake and Processing Center (IPC) to PC that will allow the officer to identify the case or cases for which the arrestee will be booked and the name, race, sex, and DOB of the arrestee. Each case is identified by an “Item Number”³, an identifier that will be used to associate the arrestee to EPR records (if they exist) on the Data Exchange Server (DES)⁴. The officer’s entry screen will allow the officer to indicate the number of pages to be scanned⁵ (if any) and associated to the Item #. After entry of each Item# and associated document scans, a tracking document will be printed for the arrestee with barcodes associated with each Item#.⁶

The Pre-Acceptance process will place SQL table records (EPR data and PDFs (scanned documents labeled by Item# and arrestee ID information)) onto the DES available to BRS through a bridge between the OPCS0 network and the City of New Orleans network. The posting of the data and files should be accompanied by posting a record of the exchange to an Event Log table⁷ maintained on the DES.

³ The incident identifier assigned by the Computer Aided Dispatch operator.

⁴ The DES is being developed as a separate project and will be available before the end of the year.

⁵ A 2-sided stack-feed scanner will be used. The Bidder should suggest a heavy-duty reliable device for the purpose. (Cost of this device is not part of this RFP.)

⁶ It has not yet been decided whether the tracking sheet will be printed in the arresting officer’s work area or in the Acceptance deputy’s work area.

⁷ This table is being created as part of another project and design/creation of it is not part of this RFP. Specifications for it will be available to the Vendor before work begins.

2) **Acceptance Module**

An *Acceptance Module* will be developed as part of this project to replace the current AS400 acceptance function in the booking process.⁸ This new module will duplicate the Acceptance functions now performed on the AS400⁹ while avoiding redundant data entry of EPR fields. Specifically:

- a) When the Pre-Acceptance Module has placed EPR data on the DES, the Acceptance Module will import, edit, and error-check the EPR data to automatically create new records in a SQL version of the necessary OPCS0 BRS files.¹⁰
- b) If documents have been scanned at Pre-Acceptance and EPR has not been used by the arresting officer, provide the booking deputy the ability to view the PDFs on the deputy's PC monitor to perform the necessary data entry.¹¹
- c) Interface with the driver's license/ state ID reader currently used in acceptance;¹²
- d) Provide browser-interface screens to allow the booking deputy to correct any imported fields and complete the remainder of Acceptance process data entry,
- e) At the completion of the Acceptance process, post data to the AS400 DB2 BRS files and the BRS Event file¹³,
- f) At the completion of the Acceptance process, print a bar-coded label similar to that printed by the current BRS.

3) **Document Exchange Processing Module**

A *Document Exchange Processing Module* will be created as part of this project as a web-based/SQL application. Currently, the District Attorney is implementing an electronic SAF that will be posted to the DES both as SQL table records and a PDF, with a corresponding

⁸ Screen images of the current acceptance module can be found in Appendix A. Also, at the Bidder's request, RPG LE source code for the module can be provided.

⁹ The current Acceptance module consists of 4 simple data entry screens: 1) Entry of the "Folder Number" (a single field), 2) Driver's License Scan (Y or N), 3) Entry of arrestee Name/RS/DOB and arresting officer names, 4) Entry of charges with Item# (with prompt to access lookup Charge Table. (See Appendix A)

¹⁰ There are currently 8 files used by the application, 2 primary files for inmate identification and charges respectively, and 6 history files.

¹¹ The Bidder should assume this PDF-view/ data entry task will be performed through a split-screen approach on a 24-in widescreen monitor. (The cost of the monitor is not part of this RFP.)

¹² Currently, when a person is at the Acceptance stage of booking, the Acceptance deputy is presented with a screen asking the question whether the person has a Driver's License. If they have a Driver's License, the deputy scans the license through the Driver's License Scanner. The data is gathered by a PC program and stored in the Integrated File System on the AS/400 (an AS400 area that stores files like a PC). The scanned data is used in Acceptance as well as further down the line in the booking process. The new BRS should call the DL PC program and access the resulting data either from that program or the IFS.

¹³ The BRS Event File is a log file on the AS400 used to track tasks and activities in the booking process.

DES Event Log entry. The Magistrate Court Case Management System Project being implemented on a timeline parallel to this OPCS0 BRS project will result in the generation of a Magistrate Court Release Form that will be similarly posted to the DES. It is anticipated that additional court documents will be generated in electronic form in the future. The Document Exchange Processing Module will be designed to:

- a) Detect the existence of shared data and documents made available on the DES by monitoring Event Log(s) on the DES,
- b) Determine if a matching active inmate record exists in the AS400 BRS DB (access using ODBC or another method) using the person identifier in the document data (Folder Number), the case identifier (Docket Number and Magistrate Number), incident and arrest identifiers (Item Number and Arrest Register Number), and charge identifiers (Charge Sequence Number and ATN),
- c) Provide the BRS user a “Shared Document Work Queue” screen with those documents matching inmates in custody flagged and prioritized,¹⁴
- d) Allow the users to select a document for processing,
- e) If a matching active inmate record exists, import the inmate record from the BRS AS400 DB into the SQL version of the database,
- f) Execute current business logic based on the data values in the document and links to appropriate fields in the BRS database (primarily charge and inmate status fields),
- g) Display to the user both the field updates suggested by application of the business logic and the subsequent action (such as inmate release) that should result in “before” and “after” panels,
- h) Allow the user to accept, reject, or alter the field value updates, and
- i) Make the updates in the SQL BRS DB as indicated by the user, and update the AS400 BRS DB from the SQL BRS DB.¹⁵

This Module should be implemented for the SAF and MCR but designed to easily add additional documents. This implies that the business logic routines for each document be developed as distinct objects or services.

¹⁴ Though, technically, *only* those documents determined by the software to match inmates in custody should be displayed, until BRS personnel are certain the matching routine is sufficiently rigorous, they will want the opportunity to check all SAFs and MCRs.

¹⁵ Note that for this module the SQL version of the BRS DB is used primarily as a work area, with before and after logs of the values updated maintained for error-checking purposes.

B) Other Requirements and Information

- 1) New web-based applications will use SQL Server 2008 and be developed using .NET technology. They must be developed with Visual Studio 2008 and delivered as a Visual Studio 2008 Project.
- 2) The new web-based applications must be compatible with Internet Explorer 5.0-7.0 and Firefox.
- 3) Quality and extent of documentation should be sufficient to enable OPCSO IT employees or contractors with appropriate skill sets to maintain and modify the applications. Bidder should describe in detail the documentation methodology to be used for this project.
- 4) The cost of hardware and licensing necessary to establish the platforms for the applications is not part of this RFP.
- 5) The vendor will provide training on use of the application to designated testers (OPCSO IT personnel and BRS user supervisors) but will not be responsible for implementing online help applications or training end users.

7. Project Planning and Implementation

7.1 Proposed Project Plan

The Bidder is required to submit an overall project plan in the response to the RFP. The plan should outline an overall strategy and approach, and include a detailed description of tasks, responsibilities, milestones, and timelines within the stated time limits. The Bidder's proposed project plan will be evaluated for completeness, comprehensiveness, and reasonableness. Given the tight timeline for this project, the Bidder should describe a suitable fast-track programming, testing, and implementation methodology, and a discussion of risk factors and alternatives.

7.2 Proposed Project Staff

The Bidder is required to include detailed information regarding the proposed project team that will be assigned to this project, including the distinct roles and responsibilities of each team member. The team members, percentage of time to be dedicated, experience level, years employed with Bidder, experience on similar projects, and technical knowledge will all be considered. Bidder will be required to disclose employee-supplied criminal history information on all employees connected to the project and provide sufficient information for police personnel to conduct criminal history background checks before contract signing.

7.3 Project Coordination

The Bidder should assign one person to act as a Project Manager. The Bidder's project manager should be solely responsible for contact and coordination with the NOPJF and

Court project managers on all matters. All official communications between the Bidder and NOPJF should be directed through NOPJF's Project Manager.

7.4 It is the contractor's responsibility to follow schedules, for various milestones and deliverables set forth in the project plan unless failure to meet the schedule is the responsibility of NOPJF or a participating local agency.

7.5 Any change in the mutually agreed upon Project Scope will require the contractor to complete a change order request form, and obtain the appropriate NOPJF approvals prior to executing a Contract Amendment and providing the requested change.

7.6 The contractor must produce weekly status reports and weekly project timeline updates during the project.

7.7 The contractor will be responsible for working jointly and directly with relevant IT support staff on all data import from existing systems.

7.9 System Acceptance

Final System Acceptance will not occur until the Bidder has successfully delivered the system, including all of its components and the system is operating according to the specifications as agreed upon in the Bidder's contract and/or Statement of Work.

8. Instructions for Proposal

8.1 Compliance with the RFP

The proposal must be in strict compliance with this RFP. Failure to comply with all provisions of the RFP may result in disqualification.

8.2 Delivery of Proposals

All proposal responses are to be delivered to:

New Orleans Police and Justice Foundation
Attn: Dr. Michael Geerken
400 Poydras Street
Suite 2105
New Orleans, LA 70130

NOPJF will not accept any proposal responses received after the stated date and time, and should return such late proposal responses to the Bidder, or file the response unopened. Bidders must submit one (1) original, and nine (9) numbered exact copies of the proposal response (total of 10). Bidders must also submit two (2) electronic copies of the proposal

response on CD. Proposals will be opened publicly in a manner to avoid public disclosure of contents; however, only names of Bidders will be read aloud.

8.3 Proposal and Presentation Costs

NOPJF will not be liable in any way for any costs incurred by any Bidder in the preparation of its proposal in response to this RFP, nor for the presentation of its proposal and/or participation in any discussions or negotiations.

8.4 Rejection of Proposals

NOPJF reserves the right to accept or reject in whole, or in part, any, or, all proposals submitted. NOPJF should reject the proposal of any Bidder that is determined to be unsuitable (see 3.1) or non-responsive (see 3.2). Bidders deemed unsuitable or proposals deemed non-responsive, in the judgment of NOPJF, will not be scored

8.5 Acceptance of Proposals

NOPJF will accept all proposals that are submitted properly. However, NOPJF reserves the right to request clarifications or corrections to proposals.

8.6 Requests for Clarification of Proposals

Requests by NOPJF for clarification of proposals should be by e-mail. Said requests should not alter the Bidder's pricing information contained in its cost proposal other than to provide more detailed breakdowns or explanation. Bidder should provide a single person and e-mail address to receive NOPJF correspondence about the proposal.

8.7 Validity of Proposals

All proposals should be valid for a period of not less than one hundred eighty (180) days from the proposal close date.

8.8 Proposal Format

To facilitate the fair evaluation and comparison of proposals, all proposals must conform to the guidelines set forth in this RFP. Any portions of the proposal that do not comply with these guidelines must be so noted and explained the Acceptance of Conditions section of the proposal. However, any proposal that contains such variances may be considered non-responsive. Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward concise description of the Bidder's approach and ability to successfully provide project deliverables, as stated in the RFP. All copies of the proposal

should be bound (in a non-bulky way) for ease of handling. Notebook rather than binder form is preferred. The items listed below should be submitted with each proposal and should be submitted in the order shown. Each section should be clearly labeled, with pages numbered and separated by tabs. Failure by a Bidder to include all listed items may result in the rejection of its proposal.

Tab I, Management Summary

Provide a cover letter, signed by an authorized officer of the firm, indicating the underlying philosophy of the firm in providing the service. Include the name(s), telephone number(s), and email address(s) of the authorized contact person(s) concerning proposal.

Formatted

Tab II, Project Plan

Formatted

Tab III Responses to RFP System requirements (Sections 1.5 and 1.6)

Tab IV, Key Personnel

Attach resumes of all managers and senior-level supervisors who will be involved in the management of the total package of services, as well as the delivery of specific services.

Formatted

Tab V, Customer Listings

Provide a listing of all current and recent software services clients. Information provided for each client should include the following:

- Client name, address, and current telephone number
- Description of products and services provided
- Time period of the project or contract
- Client's contact reference name, current telephone number and email address

Failure to provide complete and accurate client information, as specified here, may result in the disqualification of your proposal or cancellation of the contract and your suspension or departments from further business with NOPJF.

Formatted

Tab Va, Prior Customer Listings

Provide a listing of all previous clients who have terminated use of your applications or services. Information provided for each client should include the following:

- Client name, address, and current telephone number
- Description of services provided
- Time period of the project or contract
- Termination date
- Client's contact reference name, current telephone number and email address
- Client's reason(s) for the termination
- Client's subsequent Bidder of choice, if known

Failure to provide complete and accurate prior client information, as specified here, may result in the disqualification of your proposal or cancellation of the contract and your suspension or departments from further business with NOPJF.

Formatted

Tab VI. Acceptance of Conditions

Indicate any exceptions to any of the conditions or requirements stated in the RFP.

Formatted

Tab VII. Cost Proposal

Provide a detailed outline of all costs, including the cost of a four-year maintenance and support plan after the end of the warranty period.

Formatted

Tab VIII Supplemental Materials at Bidder's option (not to exceed 25 pages).

9. Evaluation of Proposals (Procedure)

An Evaluation Committee made up of the NOPJF Project Manager and both IT and operational personnel from OPCSO and NOPD will evaluate these proposals. The Committee will first examine proposals to eliminate bidders that are unsuitable or proposals that are clearly non-responsive to the stated requirements or required format. Therefore, bidders should exercise particular care in reviewing the Proposal Format required for this RFP. The Committee may request clarifications from the Bidder before rejection at this initial stage.

The Committee may request presentations by selected bidders during its evaluation process and may conduct negotiations with a selected bidder for the purpose of obtaining best and final offers. NOPJF reserves the right to withdraw this RFP at any time and for any reason and to issue such clarifications, modifications, and/or amendments, as it may deem appropriate. Receipt of a proposal by NOPJF or a submission of a proposal to NOPJF confers no rights upon the Bidder nor obligates NOPJF in any manner. NOPJF reserves the right to waive minor irregularities in proposals, provided that such action is in the best interest of NOPJF or criminal justice system. Any such waiver should not modify any remaining RFP requirements or excuse the Bidder from full compliance with the RFP specifications and other contract requirements if the Bidder is awarded the Contract.

If a bidder discovers any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the RFP, it should, by the stated deadline, immediately notify NOPJF of such error by e-mail and request modification or clarification of the document. NOPJF will make modifications by issuing a written revision and post those revisions to its website. Proposers are responsible for monitoring the website. The bidder is responsible for clarifying any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the Request for Proposals prior to submitting the proposal.

The specifications for the system are described in the RFP. Only bidders that can provide the mandatory features and services with the required specifications will be considered. Preference may be given to bidders with the ability to provide the additional features or services, and/or specifications in the RFP.

Each proposal bid will be evaluated for the economic feasibility, cost justification of the

Bidder's product and services, the value of the Bidder's solution relative to the total cost of ownership (i.e., maintenance, support, etc.), and the total budget available. Bidders' proposals will not be evaluated solely on the initial acquisition and/or implementation costs, but also on the costs to expand and maintain the system over its life span.

The amount available for this project is a maximum of \$75,000. Any proposal for which the first year cost of all mandatory features exceeds \$75,000 will be excluded from consideration.

Bidder(s) may be requested to participate in demonstrations/discussion meeting(s) to assist NOPJF and the Evaluation Committee in gaining an accurate understanding of the proposal(s). Each bidder will be required to discuss its proposed system architecture, system interfaces, and project plan.


Proposal Evaluation Panel and Evaluation Factors


The Evaluation Committee, along with other participants who might be selected by NOPJF, will evaluate the proposals. Other agencies and/or consultants to the NOPJF may examine documents or provide comments. The factors to be considered in the evaluation of proposals are listed below with weighting.

- 1) Comprehensiveness, feasibility and quality of technical solution and project implementation plan – 30%
- 2) Bidder's experience in implementation of successful projects of similar type and scope, particularly justice system-related projects (as determined by references) – 50%
- 3) Cost – 20%

Appendix A

AS400 Acceptance Module Screen Shots





```

10/20/09      Acceptance Station      H00TSD01C  IMI20
11:11:09      File Maintenance                    JBN      RCD Mode

Folder No. : 2220787      Priority : 5

Last Name      First Name      MI Race Sex DOB Date      Expedited
Candidate?
-----
Arresting Officer Information
No. 1: Last Name      First Name      Badge No. Unit
No. 2: _____

F3=Exit      F4=Prompt      F11=Search      F12=Cancel      Roll=Prev/Next

```

```

10/20/09      Acceptance Station      JBN      IMI20
11:12:51      H00TSD01C Update
CCNo:      Fldr: 2220787 Name: TESTL, TESTF C

Cnt# Statute Violation Sub-p LIBRS Verbage
Itm #: _____ Details: _____
Itm #: _____ Details: _____
Itm #: _____ Details: _____
Itm #: _____ Details: _____
Itm #: _____ Details: _____
More...

F3=Exit F4=Prompt      F12=Cancel

```

F4 – Prompt from Charge Table

```

10/20/09      Acceptance Station      JBN      IMU20
11:14:22
CCN:         Fldr: 2220787 Name: TESTL, TESTF C

Cnts Statute Violation Sub-p LIBRS Verbage
  1  RS 14  07
-----
Charge Code Prompt
-----
:
:
: i=Select
:
: Opt Statute Violation Sub-p Verbage Act
:
:  RS 14  07
: - RS 14  07      THEFT
: - RS 14  07 (A)  THEFT OVER $500
: - RS 14  07 (A)  A   THEFT AUTO OVER $500
: - RS 14  07 (A)  B   BURGLARY AUTO OVER $500
: - RS 14  07 (B)  THEFT BETWEEN $300 AND $500
: - RS 14  07 (B)  A   THEFT AUTO BETWEEN $300 AND $500
: - RS 14  07 (B)  B   BURGLARY AUTO BETWEEN $300 AND $500
: - RS 14  07 (B) (2) THEFT BETWEEN $300 AND $500
:
: F5=Refresh      F12=Cancel      More...
:
-----

```